LONG-TERM DELIVERY STRATEGY: CUSTOMER INSIGHT SYNTHESIS

Report by Dan Young (Shed Research Consulting)

1 February 2023





Water for the North West

Contents

| 1. | Objectives and approach | 3 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Summary | 6 | | | | | |
| 3. | Looking back: existing trends | 8 | | | | | |
| 4. | Looking back: events (headlines) | 22 | | | | | |
| 5. | Looking back: events (details) | 29 | | | | | |
| <mark>6</mark> . | Looking forward: future trends | 41 | | | | | |
| Appendix A: Research sources | | | | | | | |
| Appendix B: Triangulation method | | | | | | | |

1. Objectives and approach

Building your Long-Term Delivery Strategy (LTDS) with customers in mind

At PR24, water companies are required to set out their five-year business plans in the context of a 25-year Long-Term Delivery Strategy (LTDS).

United Utilities (UU) is currently drafting its LTDS – a plan for the years until 2050. It will cover ambitions and performance commitments, such as water supply, customer experience, affordability, biodiversity, and carbon/net zero.

A full *draft* list of these performance commitments and areas of ambition is included in the next slide.

Customer input will be vital for building a successful LTDS. You will be researching several different pathways to 2050 with customers in deliberative research. But you also need to understand:

1. How customer priorities have changed over time 2. How events impact views and what this tells us about similar events happening in the future

3. How priorities might change in different future scenarios

You have asked Shed to triangulate all the research and insight you have available to address these three objectives.

Our method for triangulating your research



full detail of our triangulation method can be found in the appendices

2. Summary

The special

Summary: evolution of customer priorities

How customer priorities have evolved and may evolve

MIN. SERVICE Drinking water quality / Reliable water supply / Reliable wastewater service EXPECTATIONS Cost of living Concern about dominates environment peaked 1. Individual events don't MOST agenda in 2022 at COP26 + storm Environment IMPORTANT tend to permanently shift overflows likelv to become #1 global issue. overall customer priorities PRIORITY Asset health & flooding also affected Concerns about affordability 3. Affordability is the mav fall back to 2019 level current #1 customer priority, given the economic climate. While (e) = estimates based on aggregated NB: Only highest customer priorities shown still important, it may be theoretical outcomes from three possible IMPORTANT future climate scenarios for 2050*** overtaken by other themes **BUT LOWER** in the future PRIORITY 2013 2021 2022 2025(e) 2030 (e) 2050 (e) 2020 Environment* Affordability Leakage Consumption This graph is indicative and based on our qualitative assessment of customer priorities. All themes are relevant, but the order of Asset health** / sewer flooding

* Includes storm overflows, biodiversity, net zero/carbon, pollution and bathing water quality / ** Includes sewer collapses, main repairs and water supply interruptions / *** See Slides 42-45 This graph is indicative and based on our qualitative assessment of customer priorities. All themes are relevant, but the order of priority shown reflects the relative priority of themes within the time frame. It includes only the highest customer priorities i.e. it excludes regional growth, recreational access, as well as areas where we have less insight (sludge, emerging contaminants, energy, business demand, and unplanned outage). 5. Core hygiene factors, leakage reduction, and consumption, as well as investing in long-term asset health and sewer flooding prevention will always be important

2. But cumulative events

(e.g. storm overflows) and

macro trends (e.g. the

economy, the environment)

do move them over time

4. The environment* is

likely to rise to the fore by

2050 - either as a reaction

to negative climate events

or after global efforts to

tackle adverse effects in

the intervening decades

Five key messages

3. Looking back: existing trends

We examined the available customer insight around these draft commitments and ambitions

And we have explored how customer priorities for each have evolved over time



© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

* We have removed customer satisfaction from our analysis. We have tracked this in our analysis of events (slides 25-26) but we have no research to judge whether customers prioritise being more or less satisfied over time

** These commitments could be seen as hygiene factors as part of providing your core service to customers

There are several challenges when looking at how views have changed over time

| Challenge | For example | Our approach |
|---|---|---|
| 1. We have some pieces of research exploring individual topics. These give a view at a point in time but not necessarily how customers feel about it relative to other topics or how this view has changed over time | We only have one study which looks at circularity in any detail (bioresources and sludge) and have no view on its trajectory as a customer priority | We have relied more on studies which offer comparisons i.e. quantitative studies (SOTN, WRMP, Customer Priorities) and existing pieces of synthesis (Social Value, Water Efficiency and WRW research) |
| 2. Customers don't always separate their views of individual ambitions and commitments in the same way you have here | We know customers care about the environment. To customers, this often means biodiversity, and both are linked to storm overflows and pollution, rather than separate topics | We have grouped ambitions and commitments where it's appropriate and shown how these interrelate |
| 3. We have some gaps in our insight and some ambitions don't feel relevant as customer priorities | We have insight around business needs and priorities, but we don't yet know the <u>relative</u> priority of reducing business demand vs household demand. Also, customer satisfaction doesn't seem to fit as a 'customer priority' | Where we have little or no evidence, we've said so. We've removed customer satisfaction from this element of our analysis - but we do cover how this has changed over time (see slides 23-24) |

Explaining customers priorities 2013-2022

We've used a mountain range metaphor to explain the trends seen in your customer research over time and separated the trends into six categories:



CURRENT PEAK Issue rising in importance over time and now at its peak NEXT PEAK Long-term issue visible after descending from current peak

MID PLATEAU

Flat over time - not top priority but important*

LOWER GROUND

Issue with small movement but low customer priorities



LITTLE EXPLORED

Issue little researched or no evidence of change over time

Image credit – <u>Better Your Life</u> via Vecteezy

* Important because they tend to be enable long-term hygiene factors but customers don't automatically make this connection

Explaining customers priorities 2013-2022



CURRENT PEAK: Affordability has risen most and is now customers' #1 priority

- In 2016, the affordability of water was seen as . the no.6 priority issue among <u>UU customers</u>
- At the end of 2021, it was their no.3 issue •
- Since this time, we've seen global, economic changes resulting in UK inflation being at its highest for over 40 years
- Since the end of 2021, the economy and • inflation have been the no.1 concerns of UK consumers



The economy and inflation now dominate consumers' worries. with level of concern the highest it has been since 1974



Top five concerns for September 2022: trend data

What do you see as the most/other important issues facing Britain today?

51%

External source: Ipsos Issues Index

NB: However, in the coming years, and with an improved economic environment, it's likely affordability won't be at the top of customers' agenda

13

CURRENT PEAK: Within affordability, water poverty is also a growing concern

- In May 2022, 15% of <u>UK consumers</u> were concerned about paying their water bills*
- This was up to 20% by Oct 2022 •
- In Sep 2022, over a third of UU • customers were having difficulty meeting their monthly water bills, with customers expecting even greater difficulty in six months time
- Indeed, water poverty is a particular • concern in the North West because of its high concentration of poorer households

Sources: 38, 45, 48

* Concern about energy, food, petrol, council tax and housing costs are all higher than water bills

Difficulty meeting monthly water bill payments (UU customers)



14

NEXT PEAK: "The environment" peaked in 2021 but is now seen as longer-term

- At an overall level, the environment rose up the agenda and peaked at the end of 2021
- This coincided with the COP26 Summit but also coverage of storm overflows by water companies
- However, into 2022, economic concerns came to the fore and the environment was pushed into being seen as an important, but longer-term issue

Top five concerns for September 2022: trend data

What do you see as the most/other important issues facing Britain today?



External source: Ipsos Issues Index

Definitely, definitely important but very much out of our control as well... It is important, but then there are other things that are important, for example keeping bills manageable. Very [important] in fact, particularly as bills are going up, up and up! I think the environment is such a big part of who we are and what we think is important, and companies that focus on that and advertise that, are a lot more attractive in that sense. It makes you feel better about paying those bills; it makes you feel that you're doing something good.

The environment

was the #1 UK

consumer concern

in Nov 2021

NEXT PEAK: Of all environmental issues, overflows and pollution have become the biggest priorities



impact...

HIGH PLATEAUS: These areas have consistently remained top customer priorities

| Customer contacts about (drinking) water quality | Safe drinking water is consistently a hygiene factor Customers more sensitive to changes in appearance than taste, smell or hardness Discolouration signals water is unsafe to drink |
|---|--|
| Leakage | Reducing leaks remains a key priority for customers from 2013 to 2022 This is a year-round priority i.e. not just during hot weather Leaks are seen as "careless", "wasteful", "shocking", and even "immoral" WRW research shows reducing them is customers' favoured demand solution |
| Consumption | Not big issue in 2012/13 as most feel they already watch their consumption However, in 2021, deliberative research exposed customers to the issues. This saw increased metering/improved water efficiency as the no.1 WRMP priorities* |

Sources: 5, 23, 38, 42, 43, 44 / * We've assumed the change in research method raised the importance of this rather than the issue was more important to customers. Hence, we can't say there's evidence of change

MID PLATEAUS: Still priorities but long-term investments to prevent less common issues

Customers expect long-term investment here

To prevent these being major concerns in the future

Sewer collapses

- In Dec 2021, investing in ageing sewer system now to prevent collapse seen as very high priority by HH and NHH
- Customers here favour investing now (even if means one-off bill increase)

Mains repairs

- In April 2021, repairing local water mains was seen as #1 priority for investment* as customers would see a direct benefit
- •Overall, customers lean towards enhanced repairs here

Water Supply Interruptions

Interruptions seen as high priority by those affected (the minority) but less so those not affected (the majority)
Customers satisfied with existing level of service here
Customers expect UU to monitor water stocks to prevent shortages (Feb 18)

Sewer Flooding (External/Internal)

- •Few have experienced external flooding and even fewer internal flooding
- It's low occurrence means customers in 2021 place it as either a mid-tier or low-level concern
- •However, there are signs this may have risen – customers expect that climate change will cause more frequent flooding and as such UU should be addressing it

Sources: 2, 5, 12, 15, 22, 24, 29, 30, 41, 43

* When compared to investing in wastewater treatment works, suburban sewers, bioresource treatment facilities, IT infrastructure and borehole water treatment works

LOWER GROUND: Some small movements over time but overall lower priorities

Recreational access

- In 2013, opening up land for recreational use was seen as the lowest of all UU's priorities
- Access to outside spaces rose up customers' agenda during the pandemic in 2020-21 (when access to other forms of exercise were restricted)
- However, the issue seemed to have **reverted** to being a low priority again by 2022

April 2022

Maintaining UU recreational sites was bottom of the list of customers' favoured environmental priorities

May 2022

"Creating more opportunities for everyone to enjoy riverways and waterways" was seen as a nice-to-have

Regional growth

- In 2013, of five tested promises UU could make to customers, "supporting local communities" was **least important**
- By 2021/22, customers feel the North West has been underinvested in
- But they would most like to see investment in UU's core infrastructure in the region (e.g. flood defences, upgrading sewers)* rather than wider focus on regional growth

Sources: 4, 5, 11, 14, 20, 28, 33, 37, 38, 41 * Customer views on this are covered in the previous slide

LITTLE EXPLORED: No evidence these are particular customer priorities

| | Sludge | • Customers are generally positive about water reuse or sludge being reused to avoid landfill or running into rivers. But little evidence customers see this as a priority | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Emerging contaminants | The issues around microplastics are not universally understood in 2022 But they were seen as important in bioresources planning | Only covere 2021/2 researc |
| | Energy | Customers think using renewable energy and reducing energy use is the right thing to do. But it isn't a top priority. Not because it's not important, but because other issues are just viewed as more important | |
| | Unplanned Outage | • Research covering being "unexpectedly" without water says little to suggest views are different from those around supply interruptions (see slide 18) | |
| | Business demand* | • While we have insight around NHH priorities, we don't know the relative importance of NHH demand vs HH demand. This could be explored in future research | |
| © She | ed Research Consulting Limited, 202 | Sources: 3, 5, 19, 37, 49 | 2 |

red in 122 arch

* Defined as "the percentage reduction of three-year average business demand in MI/d from the 2019-20 baseline"

Summary of relative priorities over time



4. Looking back: events (headlines)

Summary of events (1)

We looked at the brand, contact centre and social media data we have available from before, during and after 11 water-related events (2015-2022). From this, five core insights emerge:



Summary of all events (2)

Green = no/minimal impact Amber = small/medium impact Red = large impact

| Incident | Franklaw incident | Storm Desmond | Buckton Castle | West Cumbria | Beast from the East | Drought incident | Storm Ciara | Intense dry period & first COVID lockdown | Second & third COVID lockdowns * | Storm Arwen | Hosepipe bans |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Dates | 1 Aug - 30 Sep 2015 | 3 - 8 Dec 2015 | 11 - 20 Dec 2016 | 7 Jun - 15 Jul 2017 | 22 Feb – 4 Mar 2018 | 22 Jun – 7 Aug 2018 | 9 - 10 Feb 2020 | Spring 2020 | Nov 2020 – Mar 2021 | Nov 2021 | 15 Aug – end Nov 2022 |
| Nature of event | Contamin- ation | Flooding and supply interrup- tion | Treatment fault | Water supply changes | Supply interrup- tion | TUB | Supply interrup- tion | Increased usage | Increased usage | Storm damage | TUB |
| Brand impact | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact centre impact (CMEX) | | | | | n/a | n/a | | | | | |
| Social media impact | | | | | | | | | | | n/a |

© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

RAG status based on available information and level of impact of each event on the UU brand, contact centre customer survey data (CMEX) and social media /* This period also included tiered restrictions over the winter

Customer satisfaction among UU customers has been steadily rising despite these events



NFTs

Source: 4 – brand tracking waves (in brackets) taken from during/soonest after each event NB: We don't use CMEX data to track customer satisfaction here because (a) sample is only those who contact UU, (b) timeframe includes two different, incompatible questions (satisfaction and NPS) and (c) data is missing from a transfer of systems in 2018

Satisfaction; how satisfied would you say you are with United Utilities, your water and wastewater supplier? Love ladder; Which word / term below best describes your overall feeling toward United Utilities? Understanding; How well do you think you know and understand the work that United Utilities does?

| Way | ve V | Vave | Wave |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |

And while there's some regional variation, all regional trends in satisfaction are positive

Q9. How satisfied are you with United Utilities as your water and waste supplier?



Social media volume peaked at four events



Source: Social media volume (no data available Nov 2018 – Mar 2019) * See following slide for reasons for social media activity around Storm Arwen



© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

Source: Social media sentiment (not available before Dec 2015, for Feb 2017 and for Nov 2018 - Mar 2019)

5. Looking back: events (details)

1. Franklaw incident (1 Aug – 30 Sep 2015)

Summary of incident

- Water bug / parasitic contamination from animal waste into underground storage tanks
- c.700k customers unable to drink tap water for three weeks
- Ofwat fine of £300,000 in 2017

Brand impact

 Small falls in satisfaction, satisfaction with VFM and love/appreciation in Aug/Sep in Lancashire but stable elsewhere

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

 Slight increase in dissatisfaction (c.6%pts in Aug) but not sustained into Sep

Social media impact

- Very large increase in social media volume in Aug (over five times Jul)
- Back to more "normal" level in Sep media*



I emailed them about having to boil the water due to bacteria in the water in my local area. It happened on the 4th of august and we are still having to boil water. I wanted to check how long it is going to take to resolve the problem and ask for compensation Very dissatisfied customer

© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus <u>BBC News</u>, <u>Twitter</u> /* No sentiment analysis for social media in 2015 KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact

2. Storm Desmond (3 – 8 Dec 2015)

Summary of incident

- Excessive rainfall led to flooding in Cumbria and North Lancashire
- 76 sewage treatment works affected across Cumbria inc. lost power and flooding
- 1,000 properties temporarily lost water supply and 373 received precautionary "boil water" messages

Brand impact

- Negligible on brand perceptions in the region
- Satisfaction in Lancashire and Cumbria is equal to satisfaction in other parts of the region
- Increase in positive feelings towards UU among HHs and NHHs

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

 Satisfaction unchanged and no mention of incident in verbatim comments

Social media impact

- Increase in social media volume (around twice seen in Nov)
- Sentiment around third negative (slightly higher than typical month)
- Volume returned to normal in Jan but slightly higher negative sentiment continued from Jan to Mar

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus <u>Cumbria County Council</u>, <u>United Utilities</u>, KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact

3. Buckton Castle (11 – 20 Dec 2016)

Summary of incident

- Fault at water treatment works meant 43k consumers were supplied with inadequately disinfected water
- "Boil water" notices issued for two and a half days

Brand impact

- Slight falls in consumer satisfaction, trustworthiness and social responsibility (but all increased for businesses)
- This combined with Franklaw incident – may have led to slightly lower brand perception scores in Lancashire (but these were not sustained into 2017)

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

- If anything, satisfaction was slightly higher during incident (+3%pt in Dec compared to average for year)
- No mention of incident in verbatim comments

Social media impact

- Social media volumes rose around two-thirds in Dec
- Around two-third increase in negative sentiment as well
- However, this returned to "normal" in Jan



4. West Cumbria water supply changes (7 Jun – 15 Jul 2017)

Summary of incident

- Changes to water supply involved use of harder water
- Customers reported kettles "popping" when water was boiled

Brand impact

• Limited impact - falls in all main KPIs (e.g. satisfaction and VFM) in Cumbria but this was after rises in Mar 2017 and measures were returning to longerterm norms. Cumbria then recorded highest satisfaction of any county in the region in Nov 2017 (VFM remained in line with other counties)

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

• No mention of incident in verbatim comments

Social media impact

 Social media volumes took a while to rise up but peaked just after the incident in Aug (when volume was over twice May and sentiment was at its most negative – 31%)

© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus <u>BBC News</u> KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact

5. Beast from the East (22 Feb – 4 Mar 2018)

Summary of incident

- A period of cold weather and subsequent thaw
- 2,191 customers without water for more than 4 hours and 142 without supply for more than 12 hours

Brand impact

- Satisfaction unaffected
- Brand perceptions (e.g. trustworthy, innovation, socialresponsibility, and customer service) actually rose slightly from 2017

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

•n/a

 Social media sentiment was actually more positive than before or after the freeze (c.50% positive vs. c.40%). Volume across the period was broadly in line with the months around it

Social media impact

Sources: 4, 16 plus United Utilities

© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact NB: No CMEX data as transferring from one system to another during this incident

6. Drought incident (22 Jun – 7 Aug 2018)

Summary of incident

- Period of unusually hot weather nationally caused first major drought in North of England for 20 years
- Hosepipe ban issued

Brand impact

- Satisfaction overall remained consistent throughout the year, and if rose slightly in June
- Slight drop in all brand traits since summer
- Increases in understanding what UU does

| Contact centre impact (CMEX) | |
|---|--|
| • n/a | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| People and Politics @peepandpol - Jul 17, 2018 ···· United Utilities; | |
| Amount of water to be saved by hosepipe ban? | |
| 5% - 10% | |
| Amount of water lost in recent years by United Utilities through leakages? | |
| Up to 25% | |
| This shower of incompetents should have their greedy monopoly removed & utilities should be renationalised. Now. | |



Angela Rayner ♥ ② @AngelaRayner · Jul 23, 2018 My constituents face hosepipe ban and told to spend less time having a shower. However at the same United Utilities greedy water bosses pocket £180million dividend despite losing quarter of supply through leaks. Invest

O 31

in stopping the leaks and

Paul Lewis @paullewismoney - Jul 17, 2018 United Utilities the firm that made £636m profit last year and manages the waters supply in NW England including the Lake District - note the name and which allows a quarter of the water it delivers to leak away...to ban hosepipes bbc.in/2Lsufwq

13 371

Sources: 4, 16 plus <u>United Utilities. Country Living</u>, Twitter KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact NB: No CMEX data as transferring from one system to another during this incident

```
© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022
```

Social media impact

- Huge spike in social media volume (at peak July was over six times May's volume
- Sentiment during July was over a third negative – focusing on UU losing a quarter of water to leaks and profit/directors' remuneration at a time of hosepipe bans

C 290

£

7. Storm Ciara (9 – 10 Feb 2020)

Summary of incident

•Water supply interruption to Lake District, including nine schools and c.8k properties

•Water pipe damage caused by storm

Brand impact

• Minimal impact – slight fall in satisfaction in Cumbria (to similar level to fall seen in July 2017 after issues with hard water/kettle popping in same region)

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

 No mention of supply interruptions in CMEX data.
 Average NPS of 7.1 out of 10 on 9th Feb (8.7 out of 10 from 17th-21st Feb) but no further info given

Social media impact

 Social media volume doubled in month of Feb and negative sentiment increased slightly
8. Intense dry period & first COVID lockdown (Spring 2020)

Summary of incident

- Increased water use more home use in lockdown, increased cleanliness with virus, and long-term increased working from home
- Dry weather also saw increased water usage in all water companies (except those based in city centres)

Brand impact

- VFM and 'Love' all rose in Jul 2020*
- This may be because customers experienced no issues getting water despite dry spell
- Understanding of what UU does also increased c.10%pts

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

• No change to NPS scores during this period

Social media impact

• Social media volume and negative sentiment comparable to other months, and lower than in June in particular

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus Utility Week, United Utilities

KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact * Previous wave conducted mid-Mar before the first lockdown on 23 Mar

9. Second & third COVID lockdowns inc. tiered restrictions (Nov 2020 – Mar 2021*)

Contact centre impact Summary of incident **Brand impact** Social media impact (CMEX) Increased water use • Gains in satisfaction, NPS scores Increase in Nov - more home use in understanding what consistent with volume UU does and "love" in lockdowns, increased during Storm Arwen Slightly increase in cleanliness with first lockdown have and TUBs i.e. around negative sentiment virus, and long-term all been maintained two-thirds were for period of Nov to increased working promoters during Apr from home this period • Verbatims suggest any detractors driven Communication, speed to resolve by billing issues, leaks, or poor repair

jobs

Communication, speed to resolve the problem, COVID protected, friendly, polite. All parts of the company were exemplary. Thank you for your help.

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus Utility Week, United Utilities

KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact * See timeline of UK Govt coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions

10. Storm Arwen (Nov 2021)

Summary of incident

- Major incident declared in Cumbria
- Storm damage to c.1,500 trees in Thirlmere forest and blocking access to reservoir and footpaths

Sources: 4, 6, 16 plus <u>United Utilities</u> KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact

Brand impact

- Satisfaction in Cumbria rose in Nov (+5%pts)
- Satisfaction across the whole customer base (recorded in both Nov and March 2022) was stable
- Small rise in all brand health measures (trustworthiness, social responsibility, innovation, customer service)

Water was back on in a couple of hours. Faults were fixed.

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

• No significant change to NPS during this period

Prof Jamie Woodward

The River Tame in Greater Manchester yesterday at Dukinfield. A release of turbid brown untreated wastewater into low flows during dry weather. This is why the Tame is so heavily contaminated with microplastics. Incident reported @GwynneMP @EnvAgencyNW



Social media impact

- Spike in volume (c.4 times higher than Sep)
- Highest negative sentiment ever recorded (73%)
- Most negative sentiment was related to storm overflows and UU's court declaration



11. Hosepipe bans (15 Aug – end Nov 2022)

Summary of incident

- Dry weather (only 8% of average rainfall for July) led to TUBs in South of England in particular
- •Thames Water lifted ban on 22 Nov and Southern Water on 30 Nov
- •No TUBs in North West but surrounding suppliers did have them i.e. Welsh Water had one until 25 Oct and Yorkshire Water until 6 Dec

Brand impact

- Overall satisfaction in the region is consistent
- 11%pts fall in 'love/like/appreciate' since March 2022 but this maybe more about affordability than TUBs (e.g. 3%pt fall in customers saying their water bill is affordable)
- •Indeed, SOTN data shows customers understand the need for TUBs

Contact centre impact (CMEX)

- •NPS fell during peak of dry weather (Aug/Sep) – the lowest since start of pandemic
- However, it rose back to normal levels shortly afterwards
- •Verbatims suggest detractors were driven by leaks, loss of supply, discolouration, or billing issues, rather than TUBs in the South

Social media impact

•Not available for this report

Sources: 4, 6, 16, 38 plus <u>Daily Express, BBC</u> <u>News, Hosepipe Ban monitor</u> KEY: Green = no/minimal impact, Amber = small/medium impact, Red = large impact 46% of customers think hosepipe bans are "the right thing to do" and 43% feel encouraged to be more waterconscious as a result

They could've given concession for the house being empty and not being used.

6. Looking forward: future trends

Looking forward: three possible scenarios

- We have considered the different scenarios for the future of the climate and society, and what this might mean for customer priorities
- We've taken three possible 2050 scenarios developed by Arup in 2021

Scenarios

Introducing the Scenarios



Climate Chaos

Keeping the taps on as the climate crisis accelerates

Global efforts to mitigate against the climate and ecological circli shave been lick/store over several decades. The UK's policies and plans did not marry with the well-intertimotion point COVID-19 green receivery pointstress, inclusing water, started slowy to significantly industress, inclusing water, started slowy to significantly click the started science of the starter based solutions to UK's har fields to gait close to achieving its 2003 net zone UK's har fields to gait close to achieving its 2003 net zone well well existent industry is not a clickate includering the start industry is not a clickate includering and drogsity events are releated as a stermen floading and drogsity events are releated as

Green Guardianship RCP 26

Embracing environmental net gain and climate action

Heatwave Alejandro of 2027 saw 63 000 excess deaths across Europe and an unprecedented drought lasting 11 weeks in the UK and even longer in Europe. It was a warning signal to the UK and the world. Climate pursued in the following decades. The English water companies of the early 2020s were relatively quick to recognise the potential to support the UK's net zero carbon targets and the post COVID-19 green recovery. Water companies subsequently influenced changes to regulatory barriers and over time a number of English companies even re-branded away from utilities towards 'green guardianship'. The water industry achieved net zero carbon in 2030, adopting partnership working to guickly decarbonise energy and use land to sequester carbon through nature-based techniques. England's green and pleasant land rings true once again.



Centralised Control RCP 4.5

Delivering social value in a challenging market with heightened political intervention

It is a turbulent time. England is suffering trom high umenployment, incurption population, low levels of investment and persistent flooding of property. Lengstrating issues of affordability and vulnerability in constraints of the sum of the sum of the sum of the formation of the sum of the sum of the sum of the investme policies in the sum of the sum of the investme policies in the sum of the sum of the policies of the sum of the sum of the sum of the mean of the sum of the sum of the sum of the policies of the sum of the sum of the sum of the policies of the sum o

- There are many variables and factors influencing each (e.g. probability, wider impacts, technology, regional variation)
- As such, the effects each of these scenarios will have on customer priorities can only ever be a best guess at this stage
- But their common threads will still be useful as you set UU's LTDS

2050 scenario 1: Climate Chaos





Climate Chaos RCP 8.5

Keeping the taps on as the climate crisis accelerates

Global efforts to mitigate against the climate and ecological crisis have been lacklustre over several decades. The UK's policies and plans did not marry with the well-intentioned post-COVID-19 green recovery and the ambition of net zero carbon by 2050. Many industries, including water, acted slowly to significantly reduce their carbon emissions and were ineffective at scaling up technological and nature-based solutions to remove existing emissions from the atmosphere. The UK has failed to get close to achieving its 2050 net zero target and the water industry missed its 2030 target by eight years. The water industry is now in a constant 'incident' mode to keep the taps on as England warms and extreme flooding and drought events are relentless.

| Likely impact on | Ambitions and | Explanation | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| customer priority | commitments impacted | | |
| | Environment Sewer flooding Water supply interruptions Main repairs Sewer collapses | Environment is top customer concern by some margin. Extreme weather makes incidents more common. Long- term infrastructure investment is urgent. Lost biodiversity. | |
| ₽ | Affordability Regional growth Recreational access | Economy takes back seat and more focus on risk mitigation | |
| | Water demand (leaks / consumption) | Remains equally important to manage demand | |

2050 scenario 2: Green Guardianship





Green Guardianship RCP 2.6 Embracing environmental net gain and climate action

Heatwave Alejandro of 2027 saw 63,000 excess deaths across Europe and an unprecedented drought lasting 11 weeks in the UK and even longer in Europe. It was a warning signal to the UK and the world. Climate mitigation and environmental action was aggressively pursued in the following decades. The English water companies of the early 2020s were relatively quick to recognise the potential to support the UK's net zero carbon targets and the post COVID-19 green recovery. Water companies subsequently influenced changes to regulatory barriers and over time a number of English companies even re-branded away from utilities towards 'green guardianship'. The water industry achieved net zero carbon in 2030, adopting partnership working to guickly decarbonise energy and use land to seguester carbon through nature-based techniques. England's green and pleasant land rings true once again.

| Likely impact on customer priority | Ambitions and commitments impacted | Explanation |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Environment Regional growth Recreational access | Decades of focus on the environment set new green political agenda. Growth in less carbon- intensive domestic tourism |
| ₽ | Sewer flooding Water supply interruptions Main repairs Sewer collapses Water demand (leaks / consumption) | Green infrastructure investment over decades means less concern about future demand management, supply or wastewater |
| | Affordability | Higher bills for past investments become the norm |

2050 scenario 3: Centralised Control





Centralised Control RCP 4.5

Delivering social value in a challenging market with heightened political intervention

It is a turbulent time. England is suffering from high unemployment, inequality, an ageing population, low levels of investment and persistent flooding of property. Longstanding issues of affordability and vulnerability in the water industry have peaked. While the water industry of today needs to deliver social value now more than ever, increased political intervention and heightened regulation is stifling collaboration, innovation and long-term financial decision-making. Climate mitigation efforts also came a decade too late. The 2030s or the Great Green Decade saw a sharp cut in emissions. However, summer temperatures in the North West have risen and extreme flooding and drought events are increasing in frequency. England is relying on water transfers to cope.

| Likely impact on customer priority | Ambitions and commitments impacted | Explanation | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Affordability Environment Sewer flooding Sewer collapses | Economic situation increases focus on cost, which in turn focuses on demand management. Flooding heightens awareness of environmental issues and need to invest in infrastructure | |
| ↓ | Regional growth Recreational access | Priorities lie elsewhere | |
| = | Water demand (leaks / consumption) Main repairs Water supply interruptions | All remain important but more so given other challenges | |

What do these three scenarios tell us about customers' likely priorities in the future?

- 1. The environment (pollution, overflows, river/bathing water quality, biodiversity, carbon) rises up customers' agenda in every scenario
- 2. Infrastructure investment over the coming decades is also likely to be a key priority – either to avoid negative climate consequences (Green Guardianship) or to deal with them when they're happening (Climate Chaos)
- 3. And while still important, affordability could as easily fall off customers' radar as it has risen up in the past year



Photo by Marianne Penny on Unsplash

Estimation: how priorities may evolve



This graph is indicative and based on our qualitative assessment of customer priorities from the available evidence. It isn't quantitative i.e. it doesn't show one theme is x times more important than another. It excludes business demand and unplanned outage

All themes are relevant, but the order of priority shown reflects the relative priority of themes within the time frame.

* Includes storm overflows, biodiversity, net zero/carbon, pollution and bathing water quality /** Includes sewer collapses, main repairs and water supply interruptions

Appendix A: Research sources used

Research sources used

| Ref. | Source | Date | |
|------|---|-----------|--|
| 1 | Acceptability Testing | Mar-18 | |
| 2 | Asset Health | Apr-21 | |
| 3 | Bioresources Pathways | Sep-22 | |
| 4 | Brand Tracker | 2011-2022 | |
| 5 | Climate Change | Feb-21 | |
| 6 | CMEX | 2015-2022 | |
| 7 | Coastal Bathing Waters | Dec-16 | |
| 8 | Commercial Customer Segmentation | Dec-12 | |
| 9 | Customer Acceptability Testing (qual) | Jun-13 | |
| 10 | Customer Acceptability Testing (quant) Jul-13 | | |
| 11 | Customer Listening | May-22 | |
| 12 | Customer Priorities 2021 | Dec-21 | |
| 13 | Customer Priorities PR14 | May-12 | |
| 14 | Customer Promises | Feb-13 | |
| 15 | Customer Valuation Study: Sewer Flooding | Aug-13 | |
| 16 | Social media listening | 2015-2022 | |

| Ref. | Source | Date |
|------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 17 | Drought Plan Research | Mar-11 |
| 18 | DWMP Acceptability Testing | Nov-22 |
| 19 | DWMP/WRMP Immersive Research | Apr-21 |
| 20 | Environment and Climate Change | Jun-13 |
| 21 | General Conditions | Jul-22 |
| 22 | Leakage Propositions | 2019 |
| 23 | Leakage Research | Aug-19 |
| 24 | Manchester Reslience | Jan-18 |
| 25 | NHH Tariff Research | Nov-15 |
| 26 | Northern Roots | Apr-22 |
| 27 | Pay As You Go Research | Sep-14 |
| 28 | Price perceptions | Nov-21 |
| 29 | Rainfall Management | Jul-22 |
| 30 | Repeat Sewer Flooding | Mar-22 |
| 31 | Water Efficiency synthesis | Nov-20 |
| 32 | Securing West Cumbria's Water Supply | y Sep-13 |

| Ref. | Source | Date |
|------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 33 | Sewer Overflows | Oct-21 |
| 34 | Social Tariff | Sep-21 |
| 35 | Social Tariff Research Qual | Apr-13 |
| 36 | Social Tariff Research Quant | Aug-13 |
| 37 | Social Value Synthesis | Feb-22 |
| 38 | State of the Nation | Sep-22 |
| 39 | Water Talk Forum Topic | Jul-21 |
| 40 | WINEP - Water Abstraction | Feb-18 |
| 41 | WRMP 24 | Apr-22 |
| 42 | WRMP Acceptability Testing | Aug-22 |
| 43 | WRW 2022 Synthesis | May-22 |
| 44 | WRMP PR14 | Jan-13 |
| 45 | Cost of living (Ofwat) - wave 2 | Dec-22 |
| 46 | Edelman Trust Barometer | Jan-22 |
| 47 | Ipsos Issues Index | Dec-21 & Oct-22 |
| 48 | Gov.uk Indices of deprivation | Dec-20 |
| 49 | Expectations of Service | Oct-21 |
| | | |

© Shed Research Consulting Limited, 2022

Appendix B: Triangulation method

Triangulation method (1)

- This research synthesis triangulated 48 sources of research (including 3 existing triangulations of other research*)
- It provides an **up-to-date summary of UU customer and wider views** around performance commitments, ambitions, and events dated back to 2015



Triangulation method (2)

• We have followed the principles laid out in the CCW/SIA report on best practice for triangulating customer evidence. This means we have:



• We employ the same approach for quantitative and qualitative research i.e. we focus on what each is telling us (the insight), consider the method used and timing of the research (the metadata), and how these individual insights create a coherent story around particular themes (the triangulation)

Triangulation method (3)

Our approach converged three main types of triangulation:

- a) Data source triangulation taking multiple different perspectives from different types of data, we used both inductive (drawing findings from the data sources) and deductive (using the data to test the insight developed from previous synthesis work (on Social Value, Water Efficiency, and for WRW in the region)
- b) Theory triangulation used the performance commitments, ambitions and events as the thematic framework for our triangulation
- c) Between or across method triangulation used both qualitative and quantitative market research methods, as well as other sources of data (social media listening and contact centre surveys)

We followed four discreet stages:



Ends

Dan Young dan@shedresearch.co.uk +44(0) 7980 988 762

The special